A number of people have raised concerns over whether the use of ridge vents in residential structures located in high seismic zones would be detrimental to the performance of the roof diaphragm. Their concern is the ridge vent results in a loss of shear force transfer across the ridge. While this may be the case for roof diaphragms with high shear forces such as churches and schools, and other commercial buildings, it is not typical of most residential buildings. The important point is whether the roof diaphragm requires the use of blocking in order to obtain the necessary capacity to resist the shear forces induced in the roof system.

Diaphragms can be designed either as blocked or unblocked configurations. Even in high seismic zones, the most common diaphragm configuration in residential construction is unblocked. Here’s how you can tell the difference between blocked and unblocked diaphragms.

In blocked diaphragms, 2-inch nominal lumber is placed between the main rafter framing at the joints between each sheet of wood structural panel sheathing (plywood or oriented strand board). This blocking provides a place to nail the sheathing at the panel edges, and provides a transfer of shear force between two adjacent sheets of sheathing as illustrated in Figure 1.

In unblocked diaphragms, this shear force transfer can only occur at the main framing members (rafters or trusses.) This is why the allowable design loads in unblocked diaphragms are so much lower than those possible with blocked diaphragms.

Blocked diaphragms also have sufficient bending moment forces which require formal chord members. In this case, the shear forces in the diaphragm are resisted by the sheathing and the bending forces are resisted by the chord members. In gable roof systems that are blocked, the ridge line framing becomes a critical element for the diaphragm. The ridge element acts to transfer the shear loads from the sheathing on one side of the roof to the other. In steeper roofs, the ridge might actually begin to act like a chord member and help in resisting the bending forces. Whichever the case, applications of a ridge vent in these types of highly loaded roof systems would require special framing details in order to properly resist the forces induced in the traditional ridge members, and the application is NOT a straightforward installation.

However, the installation of a ridge vent in unblocked diaphragms will not affect the performance of the diaphragm. Figure 2 illustrates the installation of a ridge vent in an unblocked diaphragm configuration. The forces in the diaphragm are also shown. Note that the shear forces are transferred to the ends of the building just as in blocked diaphragms, but with a lower allowable magnitude. The corresponding bending forces induced into the unblocked diaphragm also are significantly lower in magnitude than would be possible in a blocked diaphragm.

In unblocked diaphragms, the bending moments and shear are both resisted by the sheathing.

Diaphragm tests conducted as part of the CUREE-CalTech Woodframe Project (Design Methodology of Diaphragms, J.D. Dolan, D. Carradine, J. Bott, W.S. Easterling, 2003) show that the effect of blocking is significant, but that unblocked diaphragms do resist considerable shear and bending moment (even when the chord members are not present.)

In summary, in the case of an unblocked residential roof diaphragm system, the installation of ridge vents is a simple matter of cutting the sheathing so as to leave the gap required at the ridge line, and installing the ridge vent over the top. The installation and use of ridge vents in unblocked residential diaphragms will not affect the performance of the diaphragm in any way whatsoever, unless the rafter or truss framing is damaged during the installation.